Protective Vents

Reliability Testing of GORE® Protective Vents in Telecommunication Enclosures

Gary Chan, Applications Engineer
Sara Ellis, Applications Engineer
Jason Zambotti, Product Development Manager

Premature failure of telecommunication equipment leads to network downtime, higher costs, increased maintenance and decreased brand loyalty. One of the most significant challenges for this equipment is withstanding the conditions of the environment in which it is installed.

To evaluate equipment performance in these conditions, most manufacturers claim water protection by following the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) outlined in ingress protection (IP) protocol IP67 to ensure that the equipment's enclosure can withstand water immersion. They then evaluate temperature stability by using temperature-cycling test protocols such as the IEC 60068-2-1 or the National Equipment Building System General Requirements NEBS GR-63-CORE. If the enclosure does not show any visible damage and functions immediately after exposure to the temperature cycles, it passes the test. However, these tests do not represent real-world conditions in which enclosures experience

- sudden temperature changes that cause significant pressure differentials inside
- external temperature changes and water exposure at the same time
- repeated cycling between hot/cold temperatures and wet/dry conditions

As a result, many manufacturers find that after installation, their equipment does not maintain reliable performance for its expected lifespan even though it had passed the testing protocols for both water immersion and temperature cycling.

Drawing on their experience with sealed electronic enclosures designed for outdoor applications, W. L. Gore & Associates' engineering team investigated the impact of pressure caused by changing temperatures during these tests. In addition, they included criteria for evaluating the integrity of the enclosure seals during and after the testing.

Test Design

Gore purchased four commercially available outdoor housings similar to those used in the telecommunication industry. These two-liter housings were constructed of aluminum with a silicone gasket, and they were rated to IP67 (Figure 1).

Pressure and temperatures probes were installed in each enclosure (Figure 2), and an M12x1.5 Series GORE® Screw-In Vent was installed in two of the enclosures to allow pressure to equalize during the

Figure 1: Aluminum housing used in testing

Figure 2: Aluminum housing with ports installed for pressure and temperature probes

Figure 3: Aluminum housing with GORE® Protective Vent installed

testing process (Figure 3). No electronics were installed inside the housings.

To ensure the integrity of the seals, the bolts were torqued to the manufacturer's recommendation of eight inch-pounds once the housings had reached a constant temperature of 23°C. In addition, the housings were subjected to a pressure decay test prior to beginning the environmental testing to verify that they were completely sealed.

Gore conducted the testing following the IEC 60068-2-1 standard. Once the housings had reached a temperature of 23°C, the boxes were placed in the cooling chamber. The temperature was decreased at a rate of 1°C per minute until it reached -55°C, where it remained for 16 hours. The temperature was then increased at a rate of 1°C per minute until the enclosure's internal temperature reached and held 23°C for more than one hour. This cycle was repeated four times, which is in accordance with the standard. Internal pressure and temperatures were recorded every minute.

Test Results

During the four cycles, the vented enclosures experienced virtually no pressure differential, and therefore they maintained the integrity of the seals during and after the testing (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Pressure differentials for vented enclosures

However, the pressure in the sealed enclosures changed rapidly during each cycle. These pressure differentials were caused by changes in the internal air volume as the temperature changed. As the chamber's temperature decreased, the internal air volume decreased, causing an internal vacuum. As the temperature increased, the volume of the internal air increased, putting pressure on the enclosure walls and seals.

For example, during the initial cycle at -55°C, the pressure in the first sealed enclosure exceeded -2 pounds/square inch (psi), and the pressure in the second sealed enclosure reached -0.5 psi (Figure 5). Within four hours of the first -55°C cycle, the pressure inside both enclosures returned to 0 psi, which indicates that the pressure had equalized because seal integrity had been compromised. Therefore, a leak path was created. When the temperature returned to 23°C after the 16 hours at the cold temperature, the pressure inside both sealed enclosures spiked temporarily — 3 psi in the first sealed enclosure and 0.5 in the second sealed enclosure. Again, the pressure had equalized because air was able to move in and out through the leak path created in the initial cold temperature cycle.



Figure 5: Pressure differentials for sealed enclosures

In the remaining cycles, the sealed enclosures continued to experience significant pressure differentials. However, because the seal was already compromised during the first cycle, less pressure was required before the enclosure began to draw in air through the leak path. For example, in the first cycle, the first sealed enclosure held its seal until the pressure exceeded -2 psi. In the remaining three cycles, the enclosure began to draw in air through the leak path at -1.75 psi to equalize the pressure (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Pressure differentials for first sealed enclosure

Figure 7: Enclosure visually inspected after the testing

After the testing, the Gore team followed the IEC 60068-2-1 standard protocol and visually inspected all four enclosures. They used a technique to determine potential leak paths by brushing a surfactant solution around the seals of each enclosure after the last cycle of cold temperature was completed. As the enclosures returned to ambient temperature, the internal air expanded, which would cause bubbles to form at any leak paths. For the vented enclosures, no bubbles formed. However, bubbles did form around the gaskets of the sealed enclosures (Figure 7). In addition, the team opened the enclosures and found no signs of integrity issues or long-term creep behavior with the polymer gaskets.

Conclusion

Based on the passing criteria of the IEC 60068-2-1 cold temperature test protocol, both of the sealed enclosures would have passed the standard. However, by monitoring pressure throughout the testing, Gore determined that the sudden temperature changes caused the internal pressure to reach levels that resulted in compromised seals. If installed in the field, these enclosures would experience similar pressure differentials and over time begin to draw in moisture and particulates through the resulting leak path, which in turn could damage the electronics inside.

The M12x1.5 Series GORE® Screw-In Vent installed in the two vented enclosures maintained a typical airflow of 405 milliliters/minute while providing IP67 water and particulate protection. With this level of airflow, the internal and external pressure remained equalized as the temperature changed, reducing the stress on the seals.

One of Gore's core values is to ensure that its products are engineered to meet or exceed the needs of customers' applications, a concept referred to as "fitness for use." Therefore, when collaborating with a telecommunication equipment customer, Gore measures the internal pressure of sealed enclosures while performing immersion and cold-temperature testing to better simulate the real-world conditions in which the equipment will be installed.

Protective Vents

About W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

Gore is a technology-driven company focused on discovery and product innovation. Well known for waterproof, breathable GORE-TEX® fabric, the company's portfolio includes everything from high-performance fabrics and implantable medical devices to industrial manufacturing components and aerospace electronics. Gore's products have remained at the forefront of creative solutions because they are engineered specifically for challenging applications requiring durable performance where other products fail.

For almost thirty years, Gore has delivered venting solutions for a variety of applications installed in rugged environments throughout the world — applications such as solar, lighting, security, telecommunication and other electronic systems; automotive and heavy-duty vehicles; and chemical and agricultural packaging. Engineered with the latest materials and technology, Gore's vents are backed by years of research and testing to help extend product life and enhance reliable performance — all to ensure that these venting products can meet the challenging environments and application demands of today's technology.

Headquartered in the United States, Gore employs approximately 10,000 associates in 30 countries worldwide. In Europe, Gore started its first business operations only a few years after the Enterprise's founding in 1958. Learn more at gore.com.

International Contacts

Australia	+61 2 9473 6800	Mexico	+52 81 8288 1281
Benelux	+49 89 4612 2211	Scandinavia	+46 31 706 7800
China	+86 21 5172 8299	Singapore	+65 6733 2882
France	+33 1 5695 6565	South Africa	+27 11 894 2248
Germany	+49 89 4612 2211	South America	+55 11 5502 7800
India	+91 22 6768 7000	Spain	+34 93 480 6900
Italy	+39 045 6209 240	Taiwan	+886 2 2173 7799
Japan	+81 3 6746 2572	United Kingdom	+44 1506 460123
Korea	+82 2 393 3411	USA	+1 410 506 7812

FOR INDUSTRIAL USE ONLY. Not for use in food, drug, cosmetic or medical device manufacturing, processing, or packaging operations.

W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
401 Airport Road • Elkton, MD 21921 • USA
Phone: +1 410 506 7812 (USA) • Toll-free: +1 800 523 4673 (USA)
Fax: +1 410 506 8749 • Email: protectivevents@wlgore.com

gore.com/protectivevents

Creative Technologies Worldwide

PTV-081-WHP-US-MAY13